

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/676,448	09/29/2000	Shawn D. Cartwright	CRTW-0004	3485
75	90 03/15/2002			
George J. Awad WOODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ MACKIEWICZ & NORRIS LLP One Liberty Place - 46th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103			EXAMINER	
			HEWITT II, CALVIN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2161	
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6

			H-			
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/676,448	CARTWRIGHT, SHAWN D.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Calvin L Hewitt II	2161			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH t, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	January 2002 .				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ The	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)	Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicati	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are rejected.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest					
а) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has been	n received.			
Attachmen		- 00				
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Ti PTO-326 (Re	A . A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 14			

Art Unit: 2161

Status of Claims

1. Claims 1-43 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The terms "desirable", "advantages", "indicative", "preferred" "considered desirable", "seamless", "higher level of success", and "willing" are relative terms which renders the claim indefinite. These terms are not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/676,448 Page 3

Art Unit: 2161

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 10-15, 20-28, 30-33, 35, 36, 38, and 39 rejected under
 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by McMullan Jr. et al., 5,654,746.

As per claims 1-3, 5, 6, 10-15, 20-28, 30-33, 35, 36, 38, and 39, McMullan et al. teach a game delivery service comprising:

- an integration system capable of integrating desired environment features and/or elements into a user environments (figure 1; column 3, lines 37-67; column 4, lines 1-40 and 50-63)
- an advantages server coupled to a communication system and a database for storing information indicative of environment features and elements (figure 1)
- a user account database and server (figure 1)
- updating user accounts (figure 1; column 5, lines 17-26; column 12, lines 7-50; column 13, lines 12-50)

Art Unit: 2161

- a communication system allowing participating users to communicate accepted offered environment features and/or elements to an integration system (figure 1; column 3, lines 37-67; column 4, lines 1-40 and 50-63)
- a transaction system cooperating with an integration system and communication system to deliver accepted offered environment features and/or elements (figure 1; column 3, lines 37-67; column 4, lines 1-40 and 50-63)
- creating environment features and/or elements (figure 1)
- tracking acceptance of environment features and/or elements
 (figure 1; column/line 10/9-11/45; column/line 11/62-12/30)
- means for charging users for accessing advantages (column 8, lines 1-3; column/line 10/58-11/19)
- receiving an indication by the user to acquire an advantage (abstract; column/line 10/58-11/19; column 16, lines 36-64)
- identifying and accessing desirable features (abstract; figure 1)
- tallying the usage of accessed desired environment features and/or elements (column 12, lines 7-30)
- billing system (figure 1; column 5, lines 17-26; column/line 10/26-11/19; column 13, lines 22-30; column 14, lines 37-41; column 17, lines 50-57)

Art Unit: 2161

Regarding collecting means, it is inherent that such a feature is present in the system of McMullan et al, as they teach subscriber authorizing pay-to-play game distribution (figure 1; column 10, lines 26-67), users paying "up front" for game access (column 14, lines 37-41), and disabling a game adapter for non-payment of subscriber bills (column 17, lines 50-57).

6. Claims 42 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Chelliah et al.

As per claims 42 and 43, Chelliah et al. use target advertising in their electronic shopping environment to offer advertisements to participating users (figures 5, 10, 12A-13; column 6, lines 59-65; column 7, lines 17-64).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2161

8. Claims 4, 7-9, 16-18, 29, 34 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McMullan Jr. et al., 5,654,746 in view of Chelliah et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,710,887.

As per claims 4, 8 and 9, McMullan Jr. et al. teach a game delivery service (figure 1) where users can pay "up front" for arcade play or rent games for a prescribed period of time (column/line 10/26-11/12). However, McMullan Jr. et al. do not specifically recite an advertising database. Chelliah et al. disclose advertisement databases (figure 5; column 7, lines 17-23; column 11, lines 3-10; column 12, lines 34-43; column 13, lines 40-47; column 20, lines 25-42; column 23, lines 3-57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of McMullan Jr. et al. and Chelliah et al. McMullan Jr. et al. define their invention as a, "... method of authorizing and controlling [Pay-to-Play] marketing and distribution of game services over a cable system" (column 10, lines 26-28). Therefore, by implementing the McMullan Jr. et al. system with the target marketing of Chelliah et al., a game distributor can increase per customer sales by rewarding subscribers for their patronage.

As per claims 7, 16-18, 29, 34, McMullan Jr. et al. teach a game delivery service (figure 1) that requires users to pay "up front" for game access (column 14, lines 37-41) and a player that displays service data (column/line 11/62-12/7). McMullan Jr. et al. do not explicitly recite displaying account information to a user. Chelliah et al. teach displaying account information and providing

Art Unit: 2161

customers with a bill summary (column 12, lines 34-65) and narrowcast advertising that allow particular users to benefit from price adjustments (column 12, lines 34-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill of the art to combine the teachings of McMullan Jr. et al. and Chelliah et al.. By displaying account data to a subscriber, a user can view the terms of the game rental agreement (say) before confirming the transaction (column/line 10/57-11/12).

9. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McMullan Jr. et al., 5,654,746 in view of Golden et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,761,648.

As per claim 19, McMullan Jr. et al. teach a game delivery service (figure 1) where users can pay "up front" for arcade play or rent games for a prescribed period of time (column/line 10/26-11/12). However, they do not explicitly recite interactive coupons. Golden et al. teach interactive coupons (abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the systems of McMullan Jr. et al. and Golden et al.. Recall, McMullan Jr. et al. define their invention as a, "... method of authorizing and controlling [Pay-to-Play] marketing and distribution of game services over a cable system" (column 10, lines 26-28). Therefore, by implementing the McMullan Jr. et al. system with the interactive marketing network of Golden et al., a game distributor can increase the pool of subscribers

Art Unit: 2161

by broadcasting their services over a communications network such as the internet (column/line 3/59-4/39).

10. Claim 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McMullan Jr. et al., 5,654,746.

As per claim 37, McMullan Jr. et al. teach delivery system (figure 1) that provides gaming software to personal computers (column/line 3/48-4/7). They do not specifically recite using the internet as a communication network to provide gaming services. However, it would have been obvious to use internet, satellite or cable distribution services to allow users to download gaming software to their home terminal (column 4, lines 50-63).

11. Claims 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chelliah et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,710,887.

As per claims 40 and 41, Chelliah et al., teach an electronic storefront that allows service providers to offer, price, charge, bill and collect for the creation and integration of digital content (abstract; figures 1 and 2; column 6, lines 13-25). Chelliah et al. also teach incentives and target advertising (column 6, lines 59-65; column 7, lines 49-67; column 12, lines 34-50; column 20, lines 42-67; column 23, lines 3-57). Chelliah et al. do not specifically recite performing these processes relative to advertisements. However, as Chelliah et al. teach content

Art Unit: 2161

aggregators such as America Online, it would have been obvious for a company such as AOL to charge product distributors for target advertising services.

Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - Apfel et al. teach a system for remotely installing and updating software
 - Archibald et al. disclose a method and apparatus for monitoring application usage
 - Schneier et al. teach a software metering and billing over a gaming network
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Calvin Loyd Hewitt II whose telephone number is (703) 308-8057. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell, can be reached at (703) 305-9768.

Art Unit: 2161

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

c/o Technology Center 2100

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239 (for formal communications intended for entry),

(703) 746-7238 (for after-final communications),

or:

(703) 746-7240 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Calvin Loyd Hewitt II

March 8, 2002

Hyung Sub Sough
Primary Examiner